E. MARTIN ESTRADA 1 United States Attorney CAMERON L. SCHROEDER Assistant United States Attorney 3 Chief, National Security Division KATHRYNNE SEIDEN (Cal. Bar No. 310902) ANNA P. BOYLAN (Cal. Bar No. 322791) Assistant United States Attorneys 5 Terrorism and Export Crimes Section 1500 United States Courthouse 6 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 7 Telephone: (213) 894-0631/2170 Facsimile: (213) 894-0141 kathrynne.seiden@usdoj.gov 8 E-mail: 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 18-00759-JLS 14 Plaintiff, PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT ROBERT RUNDO 15 v. ROBERT RUNDO, 16 17 Defendant. 18 This constitutes the plea agreement between ROBERT RUNDO 19 1. 20 ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central 21 District of California (the "USAO") in the above-captioned case. 22 This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other 23 federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, 24 administrative, or regulatory authorities. 25 DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS 26 2. Defendant agrees to: 2.7 At the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and

provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to count one of the

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
  Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessment at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.

#### THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- 3. The USAO agrees to:
  - a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, move to dismiss the remaining counts of the superseding indictment as against defendant. Defendant agrees, however, that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider any dismissed charges in determining the applicable

Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- d. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offense up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- e. Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than 24 months' imprisonment followed by a term of supervised release no higher than 24 months.

# NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

4. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one, that is, conspiracy to riot, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, the following must be true: (1) between on or about March 2017 and continuing to on or about May 2018, there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit at least one crime as charged in the indictment; (2) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objectives and intending to help accomplish it; and (3) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy. The elements of the crime of rioting in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2101 are as follows: (1) defendant traveled in interstate or foreign commerce or used any facility of interstate or foreign commerce, including, but not limited to, the mail, telegraph, telephone, radio, or television; (2) defendant did so with intent to incite, participate in, or carry on a riot, or to commit an act of violence in furtherance of a riot, or to aid and abet any

person in inciting or participating in or carrying on a riot or committing any act of violence in furtherance of a riot; and (3) during the course of such travel or use or thereafter, defendant performed or attempted to perform an overt act for any purpose specified above.

2.1

#### PENALTIES

- 5. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 is: five years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000, or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 6. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.
- 7. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that he is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the conviction in this case

may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

8. Defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the felony conviction in this case may subject defendant to: removal, also known as deportation, which may, under some circumstances, be mandatory; denial of citizenship; and denial of admission to the United States in the future. The Court cannot, and defendant's attorney also may not be able to, advise defendant fully regarding the immigration consequences of the felony conviction in this case. Defendant understands that unexpected immigration consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

#### FACTUAL BASIS

9. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offense to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support a plea of guilty to the charge described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 11 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

Between March 2017 and May 2018, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California and elsewhere, defendant, his co-

conspirators, and others participated in an organization originally known as the "DIY Division" that was later rebranded as the "Rise Above Movement" or "RAM." RAM represented itself as a fighting group of a new nationalist white supremacy and identity movement. As part of their membership in RAM, defendant and his co-conspirators agreed to attend, and did attend, rallies with the intent to provoke and engage in violent physical conflicts.

Defendant and his co-conspirators performed numerous overt acts in furtherance of their agreement. For example, to prepare for violent physical conflicts, defendant, his co-conspirators, and other RAM members and associates held hand-to-hand and other fighting training sessions, which they organized through phone calls and social media and text messages. Defendant organized and attended several such training sessions in 2017. On various social media platforms, including Twitter, Facebook, Instagram, GAB, and Discord, defendant and his co-conspirators posted messages and photographs of themselves preparing for or engaging in violence, accompanied by statements such as "When the squad[']s not out smashing commies," "#rightwingdeathsquad," and "#goodnightleftside."

In March 2017, defendant and other RAM members engaged in fighting training in San Clemente, California, to prepare to engage in violence at political events, including an upcoming rally on March 25, 2017, in Huntington Beach, California (the "Huntington Beach rally"). On March 25, 2017, defendant and other RAM members attended the Huntington Beach rally, where they pursued and assaulted other persons. During the ensuing violence, defendant tackled and punched one protestor multiple times. Following the event, defendant and his co-conspirators posted photographs and videos celebrating the

assaults. For example, on February 15, 2018, defendant posted a photograph on the RAM Twitter account showing several RAM members at the Huntington Beach rally with the message "Shortly after this pic antifa was btfo [blown the fu\*k out] in Huntington Beach."

In anticipation of a rally scheduled to occur on April 15, 2017, in Berkeley, California (the "Berkeley rally"), defendant and others helped organize training for RAM. On April 14, 2017, defendant, his co-conspirators, and other RAM members reserved and rented a van to drive together to Richmond, California, where they checked into a hotel. At the Berkeley rally on April 15, 2017, there were several violent clashes between opposing groups throughout the day. In one such instance, defendant and several of his co-conspirators crossed the barrier that police had erected to separate the opposing groups and punched and kicked several people. After the rally, defendant and his co-conspirators used the internet to post photographs and videos of assaults one or more of them had committed. For example, on February 14, 2018, the RAM GAB account posted a photograph of a RAM member punching a protestor at the Berkeley rally with the text "Talk shit get hit!"

On June 10, 2017, defendant, several of his co-conspirators, and fellow RAM members attended a rally in San Bernardino, California (the "San Bernardino rally"), at which they confronted and pursued protestors. The next day, when asked by an associate whether he had filmed his activities at the San Bernardino rally, defendant wrote "some girl got us smashing the antifa car and chaseing (sic) then (sic)," and "the next time I will get someone to film for us to get all the action."

SENTENCING FACTORS

- 10. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crime of conviction.
- 11. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level: 14 U.S.S.G. § 2A2.2(a)

More than Minimal Planning: +2 U.S.S.G. § 2A2.2(b)(1)

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate.

12. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

#### WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 13. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
  - a. The right to persist in a plea of not quilty.
  - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.

c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

### WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

14. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty plea was involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's conviction on the offense to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that

the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

## LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

- 15. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court imposes a total term of imprisonment on all counts of conviction of no more than 24 months, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following:

  (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 16. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or below the statutory maximum specified above and (b) the Court imposes a term of imprisonment of no less than 24 months, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.
- 17. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the conviction or sentence, except a
  post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective
  assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an
  explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction.

Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statute to which defendant is pleading guilty is unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's plea of guilty.

### RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

Defendant agrees that if, after entering a guilty plea 18. pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's quilty plea on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

19. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

#### BREACH OF AGREEMENT

- 20. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty plea, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.
- 21. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

# COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 22. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
  Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
  agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
  recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
  factors.
- 23. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 11 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits

both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services

Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

24. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty plea, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

#### NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

25. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

23 | //

1.3

24 | //

25 | //

26 1/

27 | //

28 | //

# Case 2:18-cr-00759-JLS Document 433 Filed 09/04/24 Page 15 of 17 Page ID #:4225

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Defendant Robert Rundo

# PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney 9/4/2024 KATHRYNNE N. SEIDEN Date ANNA P. BOYLAN Assistant United States Attorneys ROBERT RUNDO Defendant ERIN MURPHY JULIA DEIXLER

# CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charge and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

Jensk 9-4-24

Defendant

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am Robert Rundo's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of his rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of a guilty plea pursuant to this agreement.

ERIN MURPHY
JULIA DEIXLER
Attorneys for Defendant Robert Rundo

Date