From: Sebastien Braha Sent: 28 February 2019 17:30 To: Boban Cekovic <<u>boban.cekovic@opcw.org</u>>; Ian Henderson <<u>ian.henderson@opcw.org</u>>; I <u>`@opcw.org</u>>; Sami Barrek <<u>sami.barrek@opcw.org</u>>; <u>'@opcw.org</u>>; In <u>@opcw.org</u>>; Subject: Re: Note for review

Dear all,

Sorry as I was in meeting the whole afternoon, I have a further question that I will need to see answered, in a subsequent meeting: under whose authority was this work conducted, outside FFM authority and dedicated highly secured network, by someone who was not part of the FFM?

Regards,

Sb

Sent from my iPhone

On 28 Feb 2019, at 15:35, Sebastien Braha <<u>sebastien.braha@opcw.org</u>> wrote:

Dear all,

Thank you very much for sharing this information with me. A few remarks from ODG:

1/ would like to first see Ian to discuss the situation, not the document. Subsequently, we will see how to deal with the document itself;

2/ (and it should even have been my point #1, in fact) please get this document out of DRA, as DRA is instructed to specifically NOT deal with any non-routine missions, until further instruction. And please remove all traces, if any, of its delivery/storage/whatever in DRA.

Regards,

Sb

Sent from my iPhone

On 28 Feb 2019, at 15:09, Boban Cekovic < boban.cekovic@opcw.org > wrote:

Dear Ian,

Thanks for the response, not sure how that goes over the DRA, but anyways got guidance to ask you to kindly submit it in person to CoC - please check with regarding his availability.

Kind regards

Boban Cekovic boban.cekovic@opcw.org Inspector, TL - CWMS

OPCW

On Feb 28, 2019 14:44, Ian Henderson < ian.henderson@opcw.org> wrote:

No worries Boban,

I forgot to mention I'm on IRDs today and tomorrow, so I've dropped it off at DRA for you to collect whenever you are free. Copied for info because of the background in this matter.

Regards, Ian

From: Boban Cekovic Sent: 28 February 2019 10:57 To: Ian Henderson <<u>ian.henderson@opcw.org</u>> Subject: Re: FW: Note for review

Hi lan

Sorry for delay in answering was out of office for some days.

I recollect over our last coffee agreed/recommended to send email to Sami and cc me about delivering your work.

Let me check with Sami and Sebastien about what u agreed before and get back to u

Kind regards

Boban Cekovic

boban.cekovic@opcw.org Inspector, TL - CWMS

OPCW

On Feb 27, 2019 17:31, Ian Henderson < ian.henderson@opcw.org > wrote:

Boban,

Update. I had a coffee with Sami today. He is more comfortable with me handing the summary note on my assessment to you. Let me know when would be a good time to brief you and hand over the note.

Regards, lan

From: Ian Henderson Sent: 26 February 2019 16:20 To: Boban Cekovic <<u>boban.cekovic@opcw.org</u>> Subject: Discussion - your thoughts

Good afternoon Boban,

Subsequent to our last discussion I was going to explore your thoughts (maybe over a coffee), however I understand the FFM is very busy at the moment. This was given as the reason for nobody attending this morning COCOON meeting.

Regarding progress on an upcoming FFM A report, there are some members of the on-site team at the incident location, including myself, who have been wondering if we will be requested (or given the opportunity) to review the draft report before it comes out. From your side, I was wondering if you would consider this as a judicious approach, seeing as the only remaining team member in the "core" team that actually went to the site(s), is a paramedic? I do not doubt his competence or integrity in any way, but in my view our strength comes from our collective expertise in many different areas.

In my case I went to three locations (or four if you count the final storage place of the two objects) and wrote two narratives for the first draft report. Also I was tasked to contribute to the review of "location and munition". I was the only metallurgist on the team, and the only chemical engineer with expertise in pressure vessel design and (from an artillery and defence R&D background) ballistics. So I had hoped to provide some useful input. However, as explained to you, and earlier to HOPB, DOI and ODG, for some reason I found myself excluded from subsequent work because I was not a selected member of the "core team".

I thought I'd first discuss informally with you as I know there are (for reasons unknown to me) some sensitivities on this issue.

Regards, Ian

Mail -

FW: minutes of meeting with Forensic Toxicologists

T To:

1 attachments (45 KB)

Minutes of meeting with Forensic Toxicologists wih Soumik and Marcs comments.docx;

Organisation for the Pronibition of Chemical Weapons Johan de Wittlaan 32 ,2517 JR The Hague , Netherlands

Tel.: +31 (0) 70 416 3130

. .

Email.



Working Together for a World Free of Chemical Weapons

From: In Sent: 30 August 2018 10:18 To: Marc-Michael Blum <marc.blum@opcw.org>; Soumik Paul <soumik.paul@opcw.org> Subject: RE: minutes of meeting with Forensic Toxicologists

Thanks Marc for your comments. I've addressed all three, I hope, in the minutes. I've reworded the two possibilities to make the language more neutral. For accuracy, I think it's important to have a record of their comments though, as it was a point raised by them. In addition to showing them open source videos, we did also brief them on the scenario and informed them of symptoms and times of onset reported by witnessess. Let me know if both of you are satisfied with this.

Regards

Organisation for the Prohibition of Chemical Weapons Johan de Wittlaan 32,2517 JR The Hague, Netherlands

r

Tel.:

Email:



Working Together for a World Free of Chemical Weapons

1

https://